## Performance and load testing results of WebSpellChecker v5.5.3 and v.5.5.4

This document describes the results of performance tests for WebSpellChecker Web API. The performance was tested and accessed depending on the following setup:

- Certain number of users accessing the server simultaneously;
- Cache enabled for spell checking purposes;
- Certain hardware and software used;
- Number of words to be checked;
- Number of spelling and grammar problems in the text.


## Testing goal and idea

Our main goal was to observe the average response time of text processing in case when 10/20/50/100/200 users send simultaneous requests to the server between two different versions of WebSpellChecker, namely 5.5.3 and 5.5.4.

## Environment and testing tool

We used Apache Jmeter 5.1.1 as a performance measuring tool. The machine used was Amazon AWS m5.large instance with 2 vCPU and 8 GB RAM.

## Testing process

We have run our tests 5 times continuously increasing the number of users accessing them. In every test the number of simultaneous users increased by 10, thus, making 5 test cases increasing workload from 10 to 50 users or simultaneous threads. The cache setting was enabled for the first set of tests and disabled later. The tests took place in the following order:

1. 10 K words ( 6 K characters) with 10 grammar problems, 50 misspellings;
2. 10 K words (6K characters) with 15 grammar problems, 250 misspellings;
3. 10 K words ( 6 K characters) with 30 grammar problems, 400 misspellings;
4. 10K words with 50 misspellings only;
5. 10K words with 50 grammar problems only.

We used the concept of tokens which is a complete sentence to be spell and grammar checked. In the test setup, we had 10 tokens.
The measured was the response time for versions 5.5.3 and 5.5.4 respectively.

## Observations and findings

Our observations are presented in tables and charts below for WebSpellChecker Server versions 5.5 .3 and 5.5.4.

- The average time for processing of 1 K words containing words in mixed case and misspelled words only grows $15-25 \%$ in version 5.5 .4 depending on the number of users compared with version 5.5.3,
- If users need to proofread 1 K words containing only grammar problems, and there are no misspelled words in these sentences, it will take more time, namely 27,777 seconds in version $5.5 .4 x$ compared with 46,551 seconds in version $5.5 .5 x$. For details, see section 1 K words with 50 grammar problems only depending on the number of users below.


Disabled cache setting, which can be specified as the value of the CacheSize parameter in AppServerX.xml file, is a standard scenario of WebSpellChecker setup.

## 1st test case for 10 simultaneous users

|  | WSC 5.5.3, response in <br> seconds | WSC 5.5.4-10 tokens, response in <br> seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cache disabled for spelling errors |  |  |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 misspellings | 3,680 | 2,706 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 <br> misspellings | 10,378 | 10,412 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 <br> misspellings | 14,478 | 13,869 |
| 1K words with 50 misspellings only | 3,976 | 3,666 |
| 1K words with 50 grammar problems only | 1,668 | 2,367 |


| Cache enabled for spelling errors |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 K words (6K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 misspellings | 3,124 | 1,918 |
| 1 K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 <br> misspellings | 3,115 | 2,034 |
| K K words (6K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 <br> misspellings | 3,802 | 1,855 |
| 1K words with 50 misspellings only | 3,687 | 2,303 |

Chart below represents test results comparison for WSC v.5.3 and WSC v.5.4 and 10 simultaneous users with disabled cache.
Test Case 1: 10 Simultaneous Users
Cache is disabled


## Number of words and errors

## 2nd test case for $\mathbf{2 0}$ simultaneous users

|  | WSC 5.5.3, response in <br> seconds | WSC 5.5.4, 10 tokens, response in <br> seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cache disabled for spelling errors | 10,229 | 8,155 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 <br> misspellings | 25,387 | 24,795 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 <br> misspellings | 35,331 | 33,962 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 <br> misspellings | 12,521 | 8,456 |
| 1K words with 50 misspellings only | 3,160 | 4,261 |
| 1K words with 50 grammar problems only | 5,275 | 3,599 |
| Cache enabled for spelling errors | 5,252 | 3,613 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 <br> misspellings |  |  |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 <br> misspellings |  |  |


| 1 K words (6K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 <br> misspellings | 5,403 | 3,125 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 K words with 50 misspellings only | 7,959 | 3,855 |

Chart below represents test result comparison for WSC v.5.3 and WSC v.5.4 and 20 simultaneous users with disabled cache.
Test Case 2: 20 Simultaneous Users


## Number of words and errors

## 3rd test case for 50 simultaneous users

|  | WSC 5.5.3, response in seconds | WSC 5.5.4, 10 tokens, response in <br> seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cache disabled for spelling errors |  |  |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 misspellings | 34,262 | 29,289 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 <br> misspellings | 74,255 | 72,647 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 <br> misspellings | 95,067 | 95,850 |
| 1K words with 50 misspellings only | 36,474 | 29,277 |
| 1K words with 50 grammar problems only | 12,094 | 19,454 |
| Cache enabled for spelling errors |  | 17,645 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 misspellings | 21,304 | 17,885 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 | 22,008 | 17,539 |
| misspellings | 23,647 | 17,910 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 <br> misspellings | 24,189 |  |
| 1K words with 50 misspellings only |  |  |

Chart below represents test result comparison for WSC v.5.3 and WSC v.5.4 and 50 simultaneous users with disabled cache.

## Test Case 3: 50 Simultaneous Users



Number of words and errors

## 4th test case for 100 simultaneous users

|  | WSC 5.5.3, response in seconds | WSC 5.5.4, 10 tokens, response in <br> seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cache disabled for spelling errors | 75,619 |  |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 <br> misspellings | 157,668 | 66,855 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 <br> misspellings | 198,941 | 155,222 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 <br> misspellings | 80,780 | 199,314 |
| 1K words with 50 misspellings only | 27,777 | 67,456 |
| 1K words with 50 grammar problems only |  | 46,551 |
| Cache enabled for spelling errors | 50,682 | 43,647 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 <br> misspellings | 52,005 | 43,139 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 <br> misspellings | 53,959 | 43,366 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 <br> misspellings | 55,802 | 43,614 |
| 1K words with 50 misspellings only |  |  |

[^0]Test Case 4: 100 Simultaneous Users


Number of words and errors

## 5th test case for 200 simultaneous users

|  | WSC 5.5.3, response in seconds | WSC 5.5.4, 10 tokens, response in <br> seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cache disabled for spelling errors |  |  |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 misspellings | 160,952 | 144,106 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 <br> misspellings | 323,478 | 314,992 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 <br> misspellings | 409,702 | 407,870 |
| 1K words with 50 misspellings only | 170,428 | 144,126 |
| 1K words with 50 grammar problems only | 61,754 | 102,238 |
| Cache enabled for spelling errors |  |  |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 misspellings | 110,704 | 97,814 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 <br> misspellings | 113,615 | 97,839 |
| 1K words (6K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 |  |  |
| misspellings | 113,767 | 102,991 |
| 1K words with 50 misspellings only | 120,933 | 95,217 |

Chart below represents test result comparison for WSC v.5.3 and WSC v.5.4 and 200 simultaneous users with disabled cache.

Test Case 5: 200 Simultaneous Users


Number of words and errors

1 K words ( 6 K chars) with 10 grammar problems 50 misspellings depending on the number of users

| Number of users | WSC 5.5.3, response in seconds | WSC 5.5.4, response in seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 3,680 | 2,706 |
| 20 | 10,229 | 8,155 |
| 50 | 34,262 | 29,289 |
| 100 | 75,619 | 66,855 |
| 200 | 160,952 | 144,106 |

Chart below represents test result comparison for WSC v.5.3 and WSC v.5.4 for different number of users in 10 grammar problems and 50 misspellings scenario.

## WSC v.5.5.3 and WSC v.5.5.4 performance depending on the number of users

1 K words ( 6 K characters) with $\mathbf{1 0}$ grammar problems and $\mathbf{5 0}$ misspellings


1K words (6K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 misspellings depending on the number of users

| Number of users | WSC 5.5.3, response in seconds | WSC $\mathbf{5 . 5 . 4}$, response in seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 10,378 | 10,412 |
| 20 | 25,387 | 24,795 |
| 50 | 74,255 | 72,647 |
| 100 | 157,668 | 155,222 |
| 200 | 323,478 | 314,992 |

Chart below represents test result comparison for WSC v.5.3 and WSC v. 5.4 for different number of users in 15 grammar problems and 250 misspellings scenario.

WSC v.5.5.3 and WSC v.5.5.4 performance depending on the number of users
1 K words ( 6 K characters) with $\mathbf{1 5}$ grammar problems and $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ misspellings


1 K words ( 6 K chars) with 30 grammar problems 400 misspellings depending on the number of users

| Number of users | WSC $\mathbf{5 . 5 . 3}$, response in seconds | WSC $\mathbf{5 . 5 . 4}$, response in seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 14,478 | 13,869 |
| 20 | 35,331 | 33,962 |
| 50 | 95,067 | 95,850 |
| 100 | 198,941 | 199,314 |
| 200 | 409,702 | 407,870 |

Chart below represents test result comparison for WSC v.5.3 and WSC v. 5.4 for different number of users in 30 grammar problems and 400 misspellings scenario.

WSC v.5.5.3 and WSC v.5.5.4 performance depending on the number of users


1 K words with 50 misspellings only depending on the number of users

| Number of users | WSC 5.5.3, response in seconds | WSC 5.5.4, response in seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 3,976 | 3,666 |
| 20 | 12,521 | 8,456 |
| 50 | 36,474 | 29,277 |
| 100 | 80,780 | 67,456 |
| 200 | 170,428 | 144,126 |

Chart below represents test result comparison for WSC v.5.3 and WSC v.5.4 for different number of users in 50 misspellings scenario.

WSC v.5.5.3 and WSC v.5.5.4 performance depending on the number of users 1 K words with 50 misspellings


1K words with 50 grammar problems only depending on the number of users

| Number of users | WSC 5.5.3, response in seconds | WSC 5.5.4, response in seconds |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 1,668 | 2,367 |
| 20 | 3,160 | 4,261 |
| 50 | 12,094 | 19,454 |
| 100 | 27,777 | 46,551 |
| 200 | 61,754 | 102,238 |

Chart below represents test result comparison for WSC v.5.3 and WSC v.5.4 for different number of users in 50 grammar problems scenario.

WSC v.5.5.3 and WSC v.5.5.4 performance depending on number of users
$\mathbf{1 K}$ words with $\mathbf{5 0}$ grammar problems


## Number of users

## Recommendations

Here are the outcomes and aftermath as well as our advice on hardware and software requirements and notes on performance issues which users may encounter:

- General performance of our spell check engine has increased, but grammar engine performance is not as high as expected. For details, see the charts showing test results depending on the number of users. For example, 1 K words ( 6 K chars) with 15 grammar problems 250 misspellings and other graphs in this section.
- 1 m5 instance can process 150-200 simultaneous users, or simultaneous threads, without any issues, but when the number of users increases to 200+, it entails $100 \%$ CPU load and a significant increase of response time. Our recommendation for he case when more users are added and CPU load constantly reaches $100 \%$ on the machine:
upgrade instance type and add more CPUs to it;
add one more machine to distribute the traffic (requests) between two or more machines, for example, using Load Balancer.
When cache is enabled, tests run much faster, and the results are almost identical for different cases due to the processed texts are the same. This case needs refinement of the text uniqueness or some text randomising for each request being sent. Our recommendation for this case is the following: specify the desired value of the CacheSize parameter in AppServerX.xml file to increase the speed of requests processing.


[^0]:    Chart below represents test result comparison for WSC v.5.3 and WSC v.5.4 and 100 simultaneous users with disabled cache.

